RNA sequences that work as transcriptional activating regions
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ABSTRACT

We describe a set of RNA molecules that work as transcriptional activators when tethered to DNA. These RNA activating regions were found amongst a randomized set of molecules bearing variants of a 10 nt loop attached to an RNA stem. The various RNA activating regions all bear an identical five-residue sequence with an interspersed sixth residue. The result shows that although all natural activating regions characterized thus far are peptidic, this function can be served by other kinds of moieties as well.

INTRODUCTION

The typical eukaryotic transcriptional activator bears two domains, one for DNA binding and another called an activating region (1,2). Many peptides work as activating regions when tethered to DNA. Members of the largest natural class of activating regions found in yeast, which also work in higher eukaryotes, contain an excess of acidic residues with crucial interspersed hydrophobic residues (1–7). New acidic activating regions have been found encoded in random bits of Escherichia coli DNA, and others have been created by peptide synthesis (8–10). A few non-acidic activating regions that work strongly in yeast (but not in higher eukaryotes) were isolated among randomly generated octameric peptides attached to a DNA-binding domain (11).

A variety of lines of evidence indicate that DNA-tethered activating regions contact, and thereby recruit, the transcriptional machinery to nearby promoters. Evidently, different activating regions interact with the transcriptional machinery in different ways (i.e. touch it in different places) to effect recruitment and hence activation (12). There is, in principle, no expectation that an activating region must comprise a peptide: any DNA-tethered compound that interacts with the machinery (directly or indirectly) with the appropriate affinity (and within certain limited stereo-specific constraints) could work as an activating region. Indeed, the finding that many RNA sequences (called aptamers) can be selected to bind specific proteins (13–17), including components of the transcriptional machinery, suggested to us that certain RNA sequences, tethered to DNA, might work as activating regions.

Here we describe a class of RNA molecules that, when tethered to DNA, activate transcription with modest strengths in yeast. Our experimental strategy was to isolate a minimal RNA sequence that can function as a transcriptional activator. We screened a variable loop of 10 nt that was presented on top of a well-characterized and often used stem-forming sequence. The strategy was based on the observation that many of the nucleic acid aptamers that have been characterized so far are overwhelmingly stem–loop-forming structures. In contrast to many of the in vitro aptamer selections, we chose a smaller library so that the screen could cover all the possible variants of the library. A 30–70 nt variable region would perhaps have yielded more potent activators. However, covering all the variants would have been impossible considering the combinatorial enormity of the library.

The recovered activators maintained the common stem and base loop sequences closely related to one another. The activity of these activators (where tested) was changed only modestly by attaching them to heterologous stems. As this work was in progress, we learned of the isolation of RNA molecules (as a byproduct of a search for specific protein-binding RNA molecules) that activate transcription (18). We compare our activators with theirs (see Discussion).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Construction of the 10 nt random library

An oligonucleotide, called ‘Oligo 2’, was synthesized to contain a 15 nt stem (U1) with a 10 nt loop. The loop part of the oligonucleotide was randomized. An additional 50 nt vector (pIII/MS2) (19) sequence was added to both sides of the stem so that the PCR-amplified Oligo2 can recombine (gap repair) (20) in yeast with linearized vector plasmid. Oligo2 had the sequence CTCTGGGAGCTGCGATTGGCAGAAT- TCCGGCTAGAACTAGTGGATCCCCCGGGCGAGGCT- TATCCNNNNNNNNNGATGTGCTGACCCCGGGCGAGGCT-
AGCTTGCATGCTGAGTTGACTCTAGAAAAACAT-GAGGATCACCC. Two other oligonucleotides, ‘Oligo’ and ‘Oligo3’ with the sequences CTCTGGAGCTGCG-ATTGAC and GGTTGATCCTACGTGTCTTCT are used to amplify the stem–loop-forming Oligo2. Oligo2 was amplified in 10 simultaneous PCRs utilizing 72 µl of water, 10 µl of 10× PCR buffer (Promega), 2 µl of nucleotide mix (10 nM each), 3 µl of Oligo1 (500 ng/µl), 3 µl of Oligo3 (500 ng/µl), 5 µl of Oligo 2 (50 ng/µl) and 1 µl of Taq DNA polymerase (5 U).

RNA expression screening

L40-coat expressing yeast cells (19) were grown in rich YPDA media to make competent cells according to Sherman et al. (21). A 40 µg aliquot of linearized (SmaI-cleaved) pIII/MS2 plasmid (19) and 20 µg of PCR-amplified DNA library were introduced into yeast cells as gap-repaired (20) replicating plasmids. Cells were plated on solid selective medium [His6, Ura3, 5–10 mM 3-aminotriazole (3-AT)] and grown at 30°C until colonies appeared. Approximately 200 colonies were picked up from the primary screen and tested for β-galactosidase gene expression by looking at the colony color on X-gal-containing plates. Yeast colonies that turned blue were used to isolate (gap-repaired pIII/MS2) plasmids for further tests. Fifteen plasmids were tested again with the L40-coat strain, and only seven were linked to the activations of the reporter genes. A control experiment was done without the 3-AT to determine the transformation efficiency. Around 10 million yeast colonies were screened to yield eight RNA activators.

β-Galactosidase activity

β-Galactosidase activity was assayed in strains as described in Himmelfarb et al. (22), and each assay was performed in triplicate. The sequences of RNA activators were determined by sequencing the stem–loop part of the plasmids. Oligo 1 and Oligo 3 were used to sequence both strands of the plasmids. A Sequenase kit (version 2.0) was purchased from USB. Activating regions of activators 2 and 8 were identical, and only activator 2 was used in the work.

Yeast strains/plasmids

L40-coat and TAT-7 yeast strains were generous gift from Dr Marvin Wickens and Dr Stenglanz. Plasmid pIII/MS-2 was also obtained from Dr Marvin Wickens. LexA-Gal4 and LexA-Gal11 are described in Himmelfarb et al. (22). LexA-Taf23 was obtained from the Strubin laboratory. LexA-yTBP is described in Chatterjee and Struhl (23). Plasmids used in Figure 5 were constructed by annealing oligos of appropriate sequences (shown in the figure) and ligating with Xmal-linearized plasmid pIII/MS-2. All plasmids were sequenced to determine their sequence and orientation.

Primer extension

Primer extension analysis of the His3 promoter was performed according to Ma and Ptashne (1). The primer that was used to analyze the His3 transcripts is identical in sequence to that used in Chen and Struhl (24). An internal control (Pgk1) (25) was also used in all reactions to probe that the reactions contained equal amounts of RNA and that its general transcriptional levels were not affected by LexA-fused activators. Total RNA was extracted from yeasts containing appropriate LexA-fused activators and control vector using a standard extraction protocol. Equal amounts (20 µg) of RNA were used for the analysis.

RESULTS

Figure 1A shows the method used to isolate RNA activating regions. The yeast strain contains two reporters, one expressing His3 (from the His3 promoter) and the other β-galactosidase (from the Gal1 promoter). Each reporter bears LexA-binding sites upstream of the respective transcriptional start site. The strain also expresses a hybrid protein comprising LexA fused to the coat protein of the RNA bacteriophage MS2. This fusion protein, LexA-MS2, binds to the LexA sites on DNA as indicated. The MS2 coat protein, in turn, binds a specific and well-characterized RNA sequence (26,27), also as indicated.

A library of RNA molecules, each 140 nt long, was expressed in yeast as indicated in Figure 1A. The RNA molecules, encoded in genes transcribed by RNA polymerase III, are neither capped nor polyadenylated and thus are thought not to be translated. Each of these RNA molecules bears two copies of a sequence that binds the MS2 coat protein and, at its other end, a sequence known to form a stem–loop structure with 10 residues in the loop. The stem sequence resembles the second stem of U1 RNA and forms a stable structure in vivo as well as in vitro (28,29). We chose a 10 nt loop as this is among the longest sequences found in naturally occurring RNA loops (including that in U1), and it offers approximately one million different sequence combinations. DNA encoding the 10 unpaired residues in the loop was randomized, and RNA activating regions were sought by picking colonies that grew on 5–10 mM 3-AT and that also expressed β-galactosidase as judged by colony color. (Growth on 3-AT requires expression of the His3 gene, and the appropriate colony color is conferred by expression of the LacZ gene.)

From 107 colonies screened, seven were found to contain RNA molecules that upon re-testing proved to be activating regions (Fig. 1B). The strengths of these varied, the strongest (no. 7 in the figure) eliciting a level of expression of β-galactosidase some 400-fold above the background. In no case was activation observed in the absence of LexA-MS2 or when the corresponding ‘antisense’ RNA was expressed (not shown). Activation was also not dependent on the identity of the DNA-binding domain used to tether the RNA activators. The various RNAs in Figure 1B were re-tested in a strain that expressed a hybrid protein bearing the Gal4 DNA-binding domain fused to the MS2 coat protein, and that had an integrated LacZ reporter gene regulated by five Gal4-binding sites. The relative activator strength of each of the seven RNA molecules in this strain was similar to that shown in Figure 1B for the LexA-MS2-containing strain (data not shown).

The β-galactosidase expression levels shown in Figure 2 indicate that the RNA activating region designated no. 7, tethered to DNA by interaction with Lex-M52, worked (i.e. elicited transcription) 10-fold more efficiently than LexA-TBP did, somewhat better than LexA-Taf23, and ~10- to 15-fold less well than LexA-Gal11 or LexA-Gal4. The latter two are among the strongest activators in yeast. Each of these hybrids, except for LexA-Gal4, comprises a DNA-binding domain...
fused to a component of the transcriptional machinery, and is sometimes called a ‘non-classical’ activator (12). Parallel experiments showed that activator no. 7 also activated transcription of reporters bearing the His4, Cyc1 and Pho5 core promoters with LexA-binding sites located upstream (unpublished data).

Figure 3 shows an mRNA primer extension analysis of the transcripts elicited by LexA-Gal11 and RNA no. 7 (bound to LexA-MS2 coat protein). This experiment was done to investigate whether RNA activators would activate transcription from the previously defined His3 start sites. These major start sites map at +1 and +12, and a minor site maps at +22 (24). As seen in Figure 3, the major start site for transcription stimulated by RNA no.7 is at +1, whereas the stronger activator LexA-Gal11 stimulated transcription from all three sites. The relative level of transcript in the two cases is consistent with the relative levels of growth on 3-AT-containing media. Cells containing RNA no. 7 grew on 50 mM 3-AT media, whereas LexA-Gal11 grew on media containing >100 mM 3-AT (data not shown). The mRNA levels of Pgk1 (25), a housekeeping gene without any LexA-binding sites in its promoter, were unchanged in cells expressing LexA-Gal11 or RNA no. 7.

LexA-MS2 coat protein). This experiment was done to investigate whether RNA activators would activate transcription from the previously defined His3 start sites. These major start sites map at +1 and +12, and a minor site maps at +22 (24). As seen in Figure 3, the major start site for transcription stimulated by RNA no.7 is at +1, whereas the stronger activator LexA-Gal11 stimulated transcription from all three sites. The relative level of transcript in the two cases is consistent with the relative levels of growth on 3-AT-containing media. Cells containing RNA no. 7 grew on 50 mM 3-AT media, whereas LexA-Gal11 grew on media containing >100 mM 3-AT (data not shown). The mRNA levels of Pgk1 (25), a housekeeping gene without any LexA-binding sites in its promoter, were unchanged in cells expressing LexA-Gal11 or RNA no. 7.

As shown in Figure 4, the seven activating regions have a strong six base consensus sequence; all were identical in this stretch except for three (nos 2, 6 and 7), which bear variants at position 4. The consensus begins in all cases except one at position 1 of the loop; the exception is no. 2, in which the
consensus begins at position 2 of the loop. If one takes into account the formation of G:U base pairs in RNA, five of these activating regions (nos 1, 4, 5, 6 and 7) have the potential to form stems extended by 2 bp, whereas the no. 2 stem can be extended by 5 bp, forming a hairpin lacking a loop.

Figure 5 shows that the activating function can be conferred on a heterologous RNA stem by fusing to that stem the appropriate loop sequence. Thus the stem–loop structure comprising TAR RNA (the site on HIV mRNA that binds the protein TAT) activated when its loop was replaced with that from activator no. 5 (compare Fig. 5C and D). The activity of this hybrid RNA is some 3-fold lower than that of the intact activator no. 5 (compare Fig. 5D and B).

Figure 5E versus F shows that the sequence of the stem of activator RNA no. 7 can be replaced with a string of G and C residues, with only modest loss of activity. Figure 5E and G shows that three contiguous iterations of a stem–loop structure bearing loops from RNA activators nos 5, 6 and 7 modestly increase activity compared with the case of the single stem–loop structure.

DISCUSSION

Our results show that certain RNA molecules, tethered to DNA, can work as activating regions in yeast. All of the functional sequences we found bore a 6 nt consensus, with five of the bases being invariant. These sequences were found within a 10 nt sequence that was attached to a double-stranded stem and tethered to DNA. The limited set of sequences we recovered suggests that the RNA activators see a limited set of target proteins, perhaps just one. What that target might be is not known; the finding that these molecules bind TBP (S. Saha, unpublished) is consistent with the fact that many acidic peptide activating regions have been found to interact with TBP, but the finding alone does not establish that the interaction is functional in vivo. Our strongest RNA activator (RNA no. 7), when tethered to DNA, works ~7–10% as efficiently as does the strongest known yeast activator, Gal4. Our primer extension study shows that RNA activator no. 7 works at the level of transcription and is able to stimulate transcription initiating from a previously characterized start site.

The RNA activators described by SenGupta et al. (18) were detected in a screen essentially identical to the one we use here, except that their RNA library was unconstrained for sequence or size. Members of our library, as we have described, were designed to all have a common stem and loop structure. Their RNA molecules do not show a consensus, are of varying size, and the most powerful of those (called TA1) works some 5-fold less efficiently than does the best activator (RNA no. 7) we recovered (S. Saha, unpublished). It is possible that these activators may interact with different target proteins or with different surfaces of the same protein.

It might seem surprising that we isolated such a restricted set of RNA sequences that work as activating regions in view of the finding that there are so few constraints on peptide
sequences with that function. Perhaps the stem constrains the rather short (10 nt) loop such that a very limited set of sequences can find a physiologically relevant target. The stem–loop sequence we examined was used previously by Keene and co-workers to find RNA epitopes that interact with a specific antibody (30). In that case, 45 clones were isolated, each of which bore an identical 6 nt sequence. That sequence, when tested by us, did not work as an activating region (data not shown).

We searched the yeast genome database to identify yeast transcripts that naturally contain the RNA activator consensus sequence. Twenty-seven transcribed regions that encode a 10 nt region identical to one or another of our RNA activators were found. Twelve of these naturally occurring yeast sequences are identical to our strongest activator (RNA no. 7). In contrast, the sequences matching the other six RNA activators were found only two or three times. We do not know whether any of those RNA molecules are involved in initiation or elongation of the transcript.

A role for RNA molecules in gene regulation is, of course, not without precedent. The TAR sequence in a nascent transcript of HIV recruits the HIV protein TAT, which in turn recruits cyclin-dependent kinases that stimulate transcript elongation (31). RNA molecules that serve as co-activators in transcriptional initiation have been found for steroid receptors as well (32). These are thought to function as structural components of a co-activator complex of which the acetyl transferase SRC-1 is a member.

Nucleic acid aptamers have been isolated that bind various components of the gene expression machinery, but to our knowledge have only been used to inhibit one or another step in expression (16,17). We do not know whether RNA aptamers

---

**Figure 5.** The activator loop is transferable. Different nucleotide stems were used to carry the activator loops from either RNA activator nos 7, 6 or 5. Multiple loops on multiple stems are also tested for transcriptional activity. Stem–loop structures are described in the figure as (A–G).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Figure</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
<th>β-Gal Units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Control Stem-Loop (Inactive Loop Sequence)</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>RNA #5 Loop</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>HIV-TAR Stem-Loop</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>TAR Stem-RNA #5 Loop</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>RNA #7 Loop</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>(C₃G₃) Stem-RNA #7 Loop</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>3-repeats of the (G₃/C₃) Stem-RNA #7, 6 and 5 Loops</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
that bind pre-selected components of the transcriptional machinery [e.g. those of Sentenac and co-workers (17)] would work as transcriptional regulators when tethered to DNA.

The first three conserved residues UGC of our RNA activators are identical to the sequences found in two loops of U1 RNA and to a loop in U2 RNA. Each of these loops binds specific proteins that contain so-called RNA recognition motifs (RRMs) (33,34). In each case, a β-hairpin penetrates the RNA loop, and makes common interactions with the conserved triplet. Interactions with bases 3′ to the conserved triplet then further determine specificity. Whether our RNA activators interact with an RRM in a target(s) in a similar fashion remains to be determined. Nonetheless, we show that non-peptidic molecules can serve as activating regions.
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